REMARKS

This Amendment responds to the Office Action mailed March 19, 2008 in the aboveidentified application. Based on the foregoing amendments and the following comments, reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, 16 and 17 are pending in the application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 9 have been amended solely for clarification and not to distinguish over the prior art of record. The specification has been amended to add section headings and to delete references to the claims. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner has objected to the specification as being informal. While section headings are not required, the specification has been amended to add section headings.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the specification is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-10, 12-14, 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner has identified certain claim limitations that lack antecedent basis. In addition, the Examiner asserts that certain terms should be defined.

Claim 1 has been amended to provide antecedent basis for all claim limitations. In addition, claim 1 has been amended to specify that the at least one electric parameter is a current consumption, a power consumption or a current/voltage phase shift of the oscillatable structure. It is submitted that these electric parameters of the oscillatable structure would be readily known to the person of ordinary skill in the art and do not require further definition in the claims. Claims 2, 4-7 and 9 have been amended for clarification and to provide antecedent basis for all claim limitations.

The amended claims are in full compliance with 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Amendment dated June 19, 2008 Reply to Office Action of March 19, 2008

The Office Action indicates that claims 1-10, 12-14, 16 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Based upon the above discussion and the foregoing amendments, claims 1-10, 12-14, 16 and 17 are in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

A Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the telephone number listed below if this communication does not place the case in condition for allowance.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

Dated: June 19, 2008 Respectfully submitted.

By William R. McClellan
William R. McClellan

Registration No.: 29,409
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
Federal Reserve Plaza

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

DD: 06/19/08